

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project Submittal Evaluation and Score

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: [REDACTED]

Date: August 21, 2023

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Score
<p>Team Organization and Experience</p> <p>This includes the team's qualifications and relevant individual knowledge and experience, unique qualifications of key personnel, and the strength of the Organization Chart</p>	25%	25
<p>Understanding and Approach</p> <p>This includes demonstrated knowledge and understanding of the work required, experience with this project's partners and stakeholders, explanation of the firm's approach to key components, and ability to meet local and best practice processes, develop innovative ideas and maintain internal measures for timely completion of projects.</p>	30%	30
<p>Scope of Services</p> <p>This includes the demonstrated ability to provide the full range of the scope of services with competence, skill, efficiency and effectiveness. Preference will be given to firms that demonstrate the ability to provide turn-key project delivery and to troubleshoot issues as they arise.</p>	30%	27 (missing use of dredge materials and detailed planting timelines)
<p>Experience on Similar & Federally Funded Projects</p> <p>This includes record of producing quality product on similar projects on time and within budget.</p>	15%	15
Total	100%	97

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project Submittal Evaluation and Score

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: _____

Date: _____ August 20, 2023 _____

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Score
Team Organization and Experience This includes the team's qualifications and relevant individual knowledge and experience, unique qualifications of key personnel, and the strength of the Organization Chart	25%	25
Understanding and Approach This includes demonstrated knowledge and understanding of the work required, experience with this project's partners and stakeholders, explanation of the firm's approach to key components, and ability to meet local and best practice processes, develop innovative ideas and maintain internal measures for timely completion of projects.	30%	30
Scope of Services This includes the demonstrated ability to provide the full range of the scope of services with competence, skill, efficiency and effectiveness. Preference will be given to firms that demonstrate the ability to provide turn-key project delivery and to troubleshoot issues as they arise.	30%	30
Experience on Similar & Federally Funded Projects This includes record of producing quality product on similar projects on time and within budget.	15%	10
Total	100%	95

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project Submittal Evaluation and Score

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: _____


Date: _____ 8/23/23 _____

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Score
Team Organization and Experience This includes the team's qualifications and relevant individual knowledge and experience, unique qualifications of key personnel, and the strength of the Organization Chart	25%	25%
Understanding and Approach This includes demonstrated knowledge and understanding of the work required, experience with this project's partners and stakeholders, explanation of the firm's approach to key components, and ability to meet local and best practice processes, develop innovative ideas and maintain internal measures for timely completion of projects.	30%	30%
Scope of Services This includes the demonstrated ability to provide the full range of the scope of services with competence, skill, efficiency and effectiveness. Preference will be given to firms that demonstrate the ability to provide turn-key project delivery and to troubleshoot issues as they arise.	30%	30%
Experience on Similar & Federally Funded Projects This includes record of producing quality product on similar projects on time and within budget.	15%	15%
Total	100%	100%

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project RFQ Ranking Score Sheet

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: 

Date: August 23, 2023

Ranking Score: 1

Please provide a ranking score of 1-10 with 1 being the highest score.

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project RFQ Ranking Score Sheet

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: _____

Date: ___ August 20, 2023 _____

Ranking Score: ___ 1 ___

Please provide a ranking score of 1-10 with 1 being the highest score.

RICHARDSON BAY REGIONAL AGENCY

Richardson Bay Eelgrass Restoration Project RFQ Ranking Score Sheet

Submittal by: Coastal Policy Solutions

Evaluator: _____

Date: _____ 8/23/23 _____

Ranking Score: ___ 1 _____

Please provide a ranking score of 1-10 with 1 being the highest score.

Brad,

I met this afternoon with Rebecca Shwartz Lesberg and went through the following questions with her. Please see notes and comments below.

1. What will be your approach to prioritization? What are the most compelling factors?
 - Comprehensive answers
 - She and sub are able to hit the ground running as they are familiar with RBRA
 - Good handle of the political and social landscape
 - Development of the adaptive management document
 - Emphasis on reaching out to stakeholders and partners, and generally casting a wide (yet specific) net, including RBRA's Board, BCDC, SF State, and others.
 - Development of stakeholder engagement plan

2. How will RBRA be advised about whether there are any temporary, permanent or potential impacts on recreational use of bay from specific eelgrass restoration sites and/or density?
 - Communicating with partners to have a consistent dialogue
 - Nothing should come as a shock to anyone, unless there is an unforeseen event
 - It is unlikely there will be significant impacts in the Bay because most recreation happens on top of the water, and the eelgrass project happens under the water, in the very low tide area
 - Once plants are in the ground, recreational use will see virtually no impacts, but that she would be in regular communication

3. How will RBRA be assured the schedule be maintained? Are there any existing or anticipated project commitments that will compete with timing resources from our partners and/or your subconsultants?
 - Bi-monthly coordination meetings with timelines
 - Schedule is expected to go as planned, except in the case of a high wind event or storm (season is May – August)
 - Communicate via email if there are any changes
 - Neither she nor sub (Keith) have any constraints on their resources that will prevent meeting timed deadlines

I scored her very high on her answers. She spoke intelligently and it is clear she knows this subject well. She appeared confident, engaged, and resourceful. Additionally, it was clear she takes seriously the impact this project will have and of its significance throughout the Bay Area.

Please call if you have any questions.

Thank you,